

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,077	08/15/2005	Masanori Kano	043056	7334
38834	7590 09/19/2006		EXAM	INER
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP			GREEN, ANTHONY J	
SUITE 700	ECTICUT AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20036		1755	
			DATE MAILED: 09/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Anglio di an N	((((((((((((((((((((
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/519,077	KANO ET AL.			
omec Action Gummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Anthony J. Green	1755			
Period for Reply	rears on the cover sheet with the t	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	taminer. Note the attached Oπice	Action of form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some * c)⊡ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority document					
2. Conjugate the continue of the priority document					
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	·	ed in this ivational Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, .,	ed.			
	•				
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	•			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/23/04,3/18/05</u> .	6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Response to Preliminary Amendment

2. The preliminary amendment has been entered. Currently claims 1-11 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1 the phrase "the pigment surface" lacks proper antecedent basis.

In claim 3 the phrase "the molecule" lacks proper antecedent basis.

In claim 4 the phrase "the molecule" lacks proper antecedent basis.

In claim 6 it is unclear as to what is meant by the phrase "carbodiimide group contained in at least species". That is, what does "contained" refer to?

In claim 11 the phrases "the molecule" and "the pigment surface" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,077

Art Unit: 1755

Double Patenting

Page 3

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-11 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of copending Application No. 10/507,012. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the reduction to practice of the claims of the copending application would render obvious the instant invention.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

It is the position of the examiner that the instant claims are encompassed by the claims of the copending application.

Art Unit: 1755

7. Claims 1-11 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of copending Application No. 10/519,079. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the reduction to practice of the claims of

the copending application would render obvious the instant invention.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

It is the position of the examiner that the instant claims are encompassed by the claims of the copending application.

Information Disclosure Statement

8. The references cited by applicant have been considered however they are not seen to teach and/or fairly suggest the instant invention.

References Cited By The Examiner

9. The references are cited as showing the general state of the art and as such, they are not seen to teach and/or fairly suggest the instant invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony J. Green whose telephone number is 571-272-1367. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-4:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,077

Art Unit: 1755

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or \$71-272-1000.20

Anthony J/ Øreen Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755

aig

September 14, 2006